

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,238	02/25/2002	Masanobu Hidehira	8039-1002	3861	
466	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
	t THOMPSON	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A			
	I 23RD STREET 2ND F ON, VA 22202	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7112111011	, vii =====		2871		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/20/200	DATE MAILED: 06/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/081,238	HIDEHIRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- 1 /
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871	k
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the ornection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	D-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

Claims 1, 3-9, and 11-15 are pending. Claims 2 and 10 have been cancelled. New claims 11-15 have been added.

Priority

Priority to Japanese Patent Application No. 2001-049492 (Feb. 23, 2001) is claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 13, Applicant recites "wherein said black matrix has a wide portion overlapping a region in the pixel between said data line and the projecting portion ..." The Examiner is unable to determine what is meant by "the projecting portion" and to what "the projecting portion" refers. As claim 13 currently reads, the projecting portion may refer to a projecting portion of the data line. However, the projecting portion may even refer to the projecting portion of a scanning line, or switching element, or wiring, or insulating layer, or pixel electrode, or combination of any and all elements.

While claim 6 recites that a scanning line has a projecting portion, new independent claim 13 provides no guidance as to how 'projecting portion' is to be interpreted or to what 'projecting portion' refers.

As such, the Examiner is unable to interpret new claim 13 and the Examiner is unable to anticipate Applicant's future amendment to new claim 13.

For examination purposes, "projecting portion" will be interpreted to read on all prior art.

As to claims 14 and 15, they are rendered indefinite by virtue of their dependence on claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada et al. (US 6,147,722) in view of Zhang et al. (US 6,115,088).

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2871

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

As to claims 1 (amended), 3, 11, and 13-15, Shimada discloses a pair of substrates (Prior Art Figure 28, substrates 120 and 122), a liquid crystal sealed between said pair of substrates (Figure 28 LC 112), a plurality of gate signal lines and source signal lines crossing each other (Figures 16 A&B and 19), a switching element having one end of a current path connected to the corresponding data line and a control end connected to the corresponding scanning line (Figures 16 A&B and 19), a wiring connected to the other end of the current path of the switching element (Figures 16 A&B and Figure 19), an insulating layer being formed on said wiring and having a contact hole through which an end portion of said wiring is exposed (insulating film 136 of Figure 28), a pixel electrode being formed on said insulating layer and electrically connected to the end portion of said wiring through the contact hole (Figure 19, pixel 140); and an alignment film being formed on said pixel electrode and in contact with said liquid crystal (Figure 28, alignment film 150), wherein said contact hole is formed at a position overlapping a region where disclination occurs (Figure 16A and Figure 16B).

Shimada does not appear to explicitly specify wherein said insulating layer is formed of a plurality of laminated insulating films, the insulating films have openings individually which form said contact hole in a tapered shape as a whole.

Zhang teaches and discloses a display device where a laminate film functions as a first interlayer insulating film and a contact hole is created through the first interlayer insulating film made of the laminate films (Column 5, Lines 1-9). The features as taught and disclosed by Zhang

result in a device in which drop in pixel aperture ratio is prevented and cross-talk is suppressed (Column 6, Lines 6-12).

Zhang is evidence that ordinary workers in the field of liquid crystals would have found the reason, suggestion, and motivation to form an insulating layer of a plurality of laminating films and such tapered shape contact hole at least to contribute to a display in which a drop in pixel aperture ratio is prevented and cross-talk is suppressed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made to modify Shimada in view of Zhang for manufacturing ease and for multi-layer connections (Column 4, Lines 9-12 and Lines 46-47) and for preventing a drop in aperture ratio and for suppressed cross-talk.

As to claim 4, the wiring is made of a light shielding material and said contact hole and at least a part of the region where disclination occurs are shielded by said wiring (Col. 7, Lines 61-63).

As to claim 5, the scanning lines and the data lines bounds a plurality of pixels each having said contact hole and said contact hole in the pixel is provided at a downstream in a rubbing direction with respect to the switching element of other pixel adjacent to the pixel (Col. 14, Lines 45-57).

As to claims 6-8, said scanning line has a projecting portion overlapping said contact hole and/or said region where disclination occurs and shielding light, a black matrix overlapping data lines and with a wide portion overlapping a region in the pixel between said data line and the projecting portion, and an electrostatic capacitance (Col. 7, Lines 25-51).

As to claim 9 (amended), Applicant's recited method steps would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals at the time the invention was made in light of the devices as taught and disclosed by Shimada in view of Zhang.

As to claim 12, the boundary of the first and second regions of on source signal lines is covered with a light-shading film (Column 7, Lines 49-52).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9, and 11-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/081,238

Art Unit: 2871

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571)272-2289.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871

Robert Kim, SPE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**

Page 7